



# Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee

6 July 2016

UNITA	
Title	Review of the hours of operation in some roads in the Temple Fortune CPZ
Report of	Commissioning Director for Environment
Wards	Garden Suburb, Golders Green
Status	Public
Urgent	No
Key	No
Enclosures	Appendix A – Copy of consultation letter and questionnaire Appendix B – Drawing of consultation area
Officer Contact Details	Gavin Woolery-Allen gavin.woolery-allen@barnet.gov.uk; 020 8359 3555

## **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to advise of the outcome of the informal parking consultation carried out in the Temple Fortune 'TF' CPZ and to outline the initial findings. The report recommends that further analysis take place on the responses to the consultation with a view to reporting detailed findings and proposals, to a future meeting of this Committee.

### Recommendations

- 1.1 The Committee note the initial results of the Temple Fortune CPZ review consultation.
- 1.2 That the Commissioning Director for Environment carry out detailed analysis of the responses and comments to the consultation, and after liaising with the relevant Ward Councillors, report back to a future meeting of this Committee a

#### report outlining the detailed findings and any proposals.

#### 1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 The Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee on 30 March 2016 resolved, after considering a Members' Item presented by Councillor Rohit Grover, that Officers should investigate the feasibility of the end of Hampstead Way (Nos. 142-166) and Asmuns Place remaining in the TF CPZ with two restricted times 10-11 am and 3-4pm and that residents permits are changed to TF/GS at no extra cost to residents.
- 1.2 Accordingly following Officer and Ward Councillor liaison, it was agreed that a consultation should take place in the 'TF' CPZ in Asmuns Place, part of Hampstead Way, part of Leeside Crescent, some Finchley Road addresses, and Temple Gardens to establish whether the local community's feelings on the current hours of operation of the CPZ in their road/area.
- 1.3 An informal consultation was carried out in May/June 2016 with residents in the area as agreed with Ward Councillors, as outlined in drawing 23348\_N (Appendix B).
- 1.4 A letter was hand delivered to all residential properties within the consultation area (as indicated in Appendix B), asking the recipient to complete a paper questionnaire. The questionnaire asked specifically whether the recipient wanted the hours of operation in their road to be changed, and if so, asked them to choose their preference from the following options:
  - Monday to Friday 10am 11am and 3pm 4pm
  - Monday to Friday 11am 12midday
  - Monday to Friday 1pm 2pm
- 1.5 Approximately 275 letters were hand delivered to all properties in the area. A web page was also set up on the Council's Engage Portal containing details of the informal consultation. The closing date for the consultation was 10 June 2016. Paper or emailed copies of the questionnaire were also made available to residents on request if they were having difficulties or were unwilling to complete the questionnaire online.
- 1.6 A total of 49 questionnaires were returned a response rate of 17%.
- 1.7 Key headlines resulting from the consultation are that:
  - 38 (77%) respondents would like the hours of operation of the CPZ in their road to be amended, whereas 10 (20%) would not like any change, and 1(2%) was undecided or did not know.

26 (53%) respondents would like the hours of operation of the CPZ in their road to be amended to Monday to Friday 10am – 11am and 3pm - 4pm, with the remainder a variety of other choices or suggestions.

1.8 From the responses received the majority of the respondents wish for the hours of operation to be changed.

#### **Petition – Temple Gardens**

- 1.9 During the consultation period, a petition was received which was dated from September 2015 from residents of Temple Gardens requesting an increase in the operational hours of the CPZ in their road as they consider current 10-11am and 3-4pm restriction is now proving insufficient.
- 1.10 The petition, signed by 47 residents, asks for an extended period of CPZ hours as follows: Monday to Friday 9am to 5.30pm, Saturday 9am to 12midday.
- 1.11 The petition has been forwarded to the Governance Section to verify and consider in the usual fashion.
- 1.12 Due to time constraints before this Committee, the provision of a full analysis of all responses and comments received during the consultation process has not been possible. Therefore it is considered that additional analysis is required to establish whether there is consistent demand for action across the whole consultation area or whether there are concentrated areas of concern/interest and whether any action is required in those roads or areas.
- 1.13 In addition, it is considered that the analysis of this consultation, as well as consideration of the content of any petition received, should be undertaken in conjunction with the analysis of the wider local consultation covered by a separate report to this Committee.
- 1.14 It is therefore recommended that the Committee note the headline results of the consultation, but that Officers should continue their work to analyse the responses to the consultation with a view to reporting back detailed findings to a future meeting of this Committee.

#### 2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 The headline responses from the consultation suggests that the area consulted would like additional investigative work to be carried out or measures introduced, however it is considered that detailed analysis of the responses and comments to the consultation should be carried out to establish any area-wide or localised issues, and reported back to this Committee.

#### 3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

3.1 None, as it is considered that detailed analysis should take place to understand and consider all issues raised in the area.

#### 4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 It is envisaged that a detailed analysis would be reported back to the October meeting of this Committee for consideration.

#### 5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

#### 5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

5.1.1 The consultation seeks to establish whether measures are required to particularly help to address the Corporate Plan delivery objectives of "a clean and attractive environment, with well-maintained roads and pavements, flowing traffic".

# 5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

5.2.1 There is £5,000 already committed from the Area Committee budget (approved at the 30 March 2016 Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee) for the consultation to take place and it is not envisaged that any further funding will be required for the detailed analysis to take place.

#### 5.3 Social Value

Not applicable in the context of this report.

#### 5.4 Legal and Constitutional References

5.4.1 The Council's Constitution, Annex A Responsibility for Functions, terms and reference for Area Committees, states "In relation to the area covered by the Committee (2) Discharge any functions, within the budget and policy framework agreed by Policy and Resources, of the theme committees that they agree are more properly delegated to a more local level and this includes local highways and safety schemes.

#### 5.5 Risk Management

5.5.1 This report outlines an initial report on the findings of the consultation, however if a full analysis was not undertaken there is a risk that pertinent issues raised may not be noted or acted upon. There could also be a possible reputational risk if public perception is that the consultation is not considered properly in detail.

#### 5.6 Equalities and Diversity

- 5.6.1 The public sector equality duty (PEQD) under Section 149(1) of the Equalities Act 2010, requires the authority, in the exercise of its functions, to have regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share relevant protected characteristics and person who do not share it.
- 5.6.2 Having due regards means the need to (a) remove or minimise disadvantage suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristics that are connected to that characteristics (b) take steps to meet the needs of persons

who share a relevant protected characteristics that are different from the needs of person who do not share (c) encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristics to participate in public life in any other activity in which participation by such persons is disproportionately low.

- 5.6.3 The relevant protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or beliefs, sex and sexual orientation.
- 5.6.4 Full analysis of the responses and comments to the consultation will determine what equalities/diversity impacts there are on vulnerable residents in the area (if any) and enable decisions to be made to benefit all or parts of the community as appropriate.

#### 5.7 Consultation and Engagement

- 5.7.3 Consultation was undertaken as described elsewhere in this report.
- 5.8 **Insight**
- 5.8.1 None in relation to this report

#### 6 BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.6.3 Item 7c of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 30<sup>th</sup> March 2016 – Member's Item - The Temple Fortune and Garden Suburb Controlled Parking Zones - Councillor Rohit Grover 

http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=712&Mld=8267&Ver=4